

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

OWEN P. MARTIKAN (CSBN 177104)
Assistant United States Attorneys

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7241
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
owen.martikan@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
PETER HE,)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

No. CR 08-0238 MHP

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM
FEBRUARY 2, 2009 THROUGH
FEBRUARY 23, 2009

On February 2, 2009, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a status conference. The parties stipulated and the Court agreed that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from February 2, 2009, through February 23, 2009, for effective preparation of defense counsel. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of defense counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the

defendants in a speedy trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

SO STIPULATED:

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

/s/

DATED: _____

OWEN P. MARTIKAN
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED:

CLARENCE & DYER, LLP

/s/

EDWIN K. PRATHER, ESQ.
Attorneys for Peter He

As the Court found on February 2, 2009, and for the reasons stated above, an exclusion of time from February 2, 2009, through February 23, 2009, is warranted due to delay resulting from the transfer of a case, and because the ends of justice outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(1)(G) and (h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. *See* 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

SO ORDERED.

DATED: 2/19/2009

